## SUMMARY OF DELIVERY SERVICE RATES (Includes Electricity Consumption Tax)

The effective rates listed below include an Electricity Consumption Tax, assessed in accordance with NH Statute RSA Chapter 83-E.
This tax, collected on behalf of the State of NH by each electric utility, is based on kWh consumed.
Each bill rendered for electric delivery service shall be calculated through the application of the effective rates as listed below.

(1) Includes low-income portion of $\$ 0.00150$ per kWh and energy efficiency portion of $\$ 0.00180$ per kWh .

* Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,742 in Case No. DE 05-178, dated April 13, 2007
** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. in Case No. DE , dated
*** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,903 in Case No. DE 08-097, dated September 30, 2008

SUMMARY OF DELIVERY SERVICE RATES (Includes Electricity Consumption Tax) (continued)

| Class |  | Distribution Charge* | External <br> Delivery <br> Charge** | Stranded System <br> Cost Benefits <br> Charge** Charge*** | Total <br> Delivery <br> Charges | Electricity Consumption Tax | Effective Delivery Rates <br> (Incl. Electricity <br> Consumption Tax) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (1) |  |  |  |
| OL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All kWh | \$0.00000 | \$0.01425 | \$0.00495 \$0.00330 | \$0.02250 | \$0.00055 | \$0.02305 |
| Luminaire Charges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lamp Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nominal | Lumens | Monthly |  |  | e Per Luminaire |  |
|  | $\underline{\text { Watts }}$ | (Approx.) | kWh | Description | $\underline{\text { Per Mo. }}$ | $\underline{\text { Per Year }}$ |  |
|  | 100 | 3,500 | 40 | Mercury Vapor Street | \$7.88 | \$94.56 |  |
|  | 175 | 7,000 | 67 | Mercury Vapor Street | \$9.54 | \$114.48 |  |
|  | 250 | 11,000 | 95 | Mercury Vapor Street | \$10.95 | \$131.40 |  |
|  | 400 | 20,000 | 154 | Mercury Vapor Street | \$13.23 | \$158.76 |  |
|  | 1,000 | 60,000 | 388 | Mercury Vapor Street | \$27.29 | \$327.48 |  |
|  | 250 | 11,000 | 95 | Mercury Vapor Flood | \$11.73 | \$140.76 |  |
|  | 400 | 20,000 | 154 | Mercury Vapor Flood | \$14.24 | \$170.88 |  |
|  | 1,000 | 60,000 | 388 | Mercury Vapor Flood | \$24.26 | \$291.12 |  |
|  | 100 | 3,500 | 40 | Mercury Vapor Power Bracket | \$7.96 | \$95.52 |  |
|  | 175 | 7,000 | 67 | Mercury Vapor Power Bracket | \$8.94 | \$107.28 |  |
|  | 50 | 4,000 | 21 | Sodium Vapor Street | \$8.04 | \$96.48 |  |
|  | 100 | 9,500 | 43 | Sodium Vapor Street | \$9.18 | \$110.16 |  |
|  | 150 | 16,000 | 60 | Sodium Vapor Street | \$9.22 | \$110.64 |  |
|  | 250 | 30,000 | 101 | Sodium Vapor Street | \$11.82 | \$141.84 |  |
|  | 400 | 50,000 | 161 | Sodium Vapor Street | \$15.16 | \$181.92 |  |
|  | 1,000 | 140,000 | 398 | Sodium Vapor Street | \$26.94 | \$323.28 |  |
|  | 150 | 16,000 | 60 | Sodium Vapor Flood | \$10.79 | \$129.48 |  |
|  | 250 | 30,000 | 101 | Sodium Vapor Flood | \$12.90 | \$154.80 |  |
|  | 400 | 50,000 | 161 | Sodium Vapor Flood | \$14.80 | \$177.60 |  |
|  | 1,000 | 140,000 | 398 | Sodium Vapor Flood | \$27.18 | \$326.16 |  |
|  | 50 | 4,000 | 21 | Sodium Vapor Power Bracket | \$7.37 | \$88.44 |  |
|  | 100 | 9,500 | 43 | Sodium Vapor Power Bracket | \$8.39 | \$100.68 |  |

(1) Includes low-income portion of $\$ 0.00150$ per kWh and energy efficiency portion of $\$ 0.00180$ per kWh .

* Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,742 in Case No. DE 05-178, dated April 13, 2007

> ** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. in Case No. , dated
*** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,903 in Case No. DE 08-097, dated September 30, 2008

NHPUC No. 3 - Electricity Delivery
Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.

Ninth Revised Page 6
Superseding Eighth Revised Page 6

## SUMMARY OF LOW-INCOME ELECTRIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DISCOUNTS

 Low-Income Electric Assistance Program (LI-EAP) Discounts for Eligible Customers| Tier | Percentage of <br> Federal Poverty Guidelines | $\underline{\text { Rate D }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Discount | Blocks | LI-EAP <br> Discount(1) |
| 1 | 176-185 | 5\% | Customer Charge | (\$0.42) |
|  |  |  | First 250 kWh <br> Excess 250 kWh | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 0.00634) \\ & (\$ 0.00659) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 151-175 | 7\% | Customer Charge | (\$0.59) |
|  |  |  | First 250 kWh <br> Excess 250 kWh | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 0.00887) \\ & (\$ 0.00922) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 126-150 | 18\% | Customer Charge | (\$1.51) |
|  |  |  | First 250 kWh <br> Excess 250 kWh | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 0.02282) \\ (\$ 0.02372) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 4 | 101-125 | 33\% | Customer Charge | (\$2.77) |
|  |  |  | First 250 kWh Excess 250 kWh | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 0.04184) \\ (\$ 0.04349) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 5 | 76-100 | 48\% | Customer Charge | (\$4.03) |
|  |  |  | First 250 kWh <br> Excess 250 kWh | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 0.06085) \\ & (\$ 0.06325) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 0-75 | 70\% | Customer Charge | (\$5.88) |
|  |  |  | First 250 kWh <br> Excess 250 kWh | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 0.08875) \\ (\$ 0.09225) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

(1) Total utility charges from Page 4 (excluding the Electricity Consumption Tax) plus Non-G1 class Fixed Default Service Rate multiplied by the appropriate discount.

Authorized by NHPUC Order No. in Case No. , dated

Issued: July 14, 2009
Effective: August 1, 2009

Issued By: Mark H. Collin
Treasurer

## CALCULATION OF THE EXTERNAL DELIVERY CHARGE

1. (Over)/under Recovery - Beginning Balance August 1, 2009
\$228,732
2. Estimated Total Costs (August 2009 - July 2010)
3. Estimated Interest (August 2009 - July 2010)
4. Costs to be Recovered (L. $1+$ L. $2+$ L. 3)
5. Estimated Calendar Month Deliveries in kWh (August 2009 - July 2010)
$1,226,188,039$
6. External Delivery Charge (\$/kWh) (L.5/L.6)
\$0.01425

Authorized by NHPUC Order No. in Case No. , dated
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name and business address.
A. My name is Linda S. McNamara. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West, Hampton, New Hampshire 03842.
Q. For whom do you work and in what capacity?
A. I am a Senior Regulatory Analyst I at Unitil Service Corp. ("USC"), which provides centralized management and administrative services to all Unitil Corporation's affiliates including Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. ("UES").
Q. Please describe your business and educational background.
A. In 1994 I graduated cum laude from the University of New Hampshire with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Mathematics. Since joining USC in June 1994, I have been responsible for the preparation of various regulatory filings, price analysis, and tariff changes.
Q. Have you previously testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ('Commission")?
A. Yes.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to present and explain the proposed changes to UES' Stranded Cost Charge ("SCC") and External Delivery Charge ("EDC"), effective August 1, 2009.

My testimony will focus on the reconciliation and rate development for the SCC and EDC. Mr. Francis X. Wells is sponsoring testimony which addresses the costs associated with each of these charges.

## III. SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

Q. Please summarize your testimony.
A. My testimony will provide support for the revisions to the SCC and EDC for effect August 1, 2009. I will explain the rate development for these mechanisms, review the actual and estimated data included in each rate, describe the proposed tariff revisions, and provide bill impacts for each class.
Q. Is UES proposing any other rate changes for effect August 1, 2009?
A. Yes. On June 12th, UES submitted to the Commission its G1 class Default Service tariff filing in DE 09-009, with a revision filed on June 18th. In that filing, UES proposed Default Service rates for its G1 class based on the winning bids, and proposed changes to its Default Service tariff for effect August 1, 2009. The Commission approved UES' G1 class Default Service rates on June 19, 2009 in Order No. 24,980. The approved rates have been
included in the G1 class typical bill comparisons in order to fully reflect all changes to UES' rates effective August 1, 2009.

## IV. STRANDED COST CHARGE

Q. What is the SCC?
A. The SCC is the mechanism by which UES recovers UPC's stranded costs from retail customers. UPC's stranded costs are billed to UES in the form of Contract Release Payments through the Amended System Agreement.
Q. What is UES' proposed SCC?
A. As shown on Schedule LSM-1, Page 1, UES is proposing a SCC of $\$ 0.00495 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for its Residential, Regular General Service kWh meter, General Service Quick Recovery Water Heating, Space Heating, and Controlled Off Peak Water Heating, and Outdoor Lighting classes, $\$ 0.00167 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and $\$ 0.87 / \mathrm{kW}$ for its Regular General Service G2 class, and $\$ 0.00147 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and $\$ 1.24 / \mathrm{kVa}$ for its Large General Service G1 class. The charges are proposed to become effective August 1, 2009.
Q. How is the SCC calculated?
A. Schedule LSM-1, Page 1 provides the calculation for the SCC for all classes. The rate is calculated in accordance with UES' tariff, Schedule SCC. The class SCC obligations are calculated first based on a uniform per kWh charge,
and then applied to each class based on an appropriate rate design. In addition to the energy based SCC, the Regular General Service G2 class and Large General Service G1 class also pay a demand based SCC. In past filings, in order to determine the energy based SCC, the calculated demand revenue was subtracted from the total revenue calculated based on a uniform kWh charge. However, because of the large decrease in the SCC, applying this method resulted in negative energy charges. To avoid negative energy charges, UES used the ratio of demand and energy revenue under current rates to develop the demand and energy components of the SCC for effect August 1, 2009.
Q. How was the uniform per kWh rate for determining class SCC obligations calculated?
A. The uniform SCC is calculated by dividing the prior period (over)/under recovery as of July 31, 2009, plus the forecast of costs for the period August 2009 through July 2010, plus interest for the same period, by calendar month kWh sales for August 2009 through July 2010. This uniform rate is applied equally to all customer classes other than G2 and G1. This calculation is provided on Schedule LSM-1, Page 1.
Q. How does the proposed SCC compare to the rate currently in effect?
A. The uniform rate is decreasing by $\$ 0.00387$ per kWh . The decrease is primarily due to lower forecasted costs, primarily the expiration of Residual Contract Obligations.
Q. Have you provided a reconciliation of costs and revenues in the SCC?
A. Schedule LSM-1, Page 2, provides the reconciliation of costs and revenues for the two prior periods, May 2007 through April 2008 and May 2008 through July $2009^{1}$, while Page 3 provides the reconciliation for the forecast rate period, August 2009 through July 2010. Actual data is provided for May 2007 through April 2009 and estimated data is provided for the remaining months. This schedule summarizes the costs and revenues associated with stranded costs and provides the computation of interest, which is calculated based on average monthly balances using the prime rate, as described in the tariff. As noted, the May 2008 beginning balance also includes the ending balance in the Transition Service ("TS") Charge Balance which was approved in Order No. 24,851 . Details of the TS Charge Balance are provided on Pages 6 through 9 of Schedule LSM-1.
Q. Have you provided detail on the monthly revenues shown on Pages 2 and 3 of Schedule LSM-1?
${ }^{1}$ As discussed in more detail by Mr. Wells, changes to the SCC and EDC are now made for effect August 1 of each year, instead of May 1.
A. Yes, revenue detail is shown on Schedule LSM-1, Page 4 for the period May 2007 through April 2008, May 2008 through July 2009, and August 2009 through July 2010. Actual data is included for May 2007 through April 2009 and the remaining months are forecast.

## V. EXTERNAL DELIVERY CHARGE

Q. What is the EDC?
A. The EDC is the mechanism by which UES recovers the costs it incurs associated with providing transmission services outside UES' system and other costs for energy and transmission related services. For costs incurred after May 1, 2006, the costs included in the EDC exclude Default Service related external administrative charges, which have been moved for collection through the DSC, per the Settlement Agreement in DE 05-064 dated August 11, 2005, and approved by the Commission in Order No. 24,511 on September 9, 2005.
Q. What is UES' proposed EDC?
A. Revised Schedule LSM-2, Page 1, provides the proposed EDC of $\$ 0.01425 / \mathrm{kWh}$ applicable to all classes. This charge is proposed to become effective August 1, 2009.
Q. How is the EDC calculated?
A. The EDC is calculated by summing the prior period (over)/under recovery as of July 31, 2009, plus the estimated EDC costs and associated interest for the period August 2009 through July 2010. The total is divided by estimated calendar month kWh sales for the period August 2009 through July 2010.
Q. How does the proposed EDC compare to the rate currently in effect?
A. The rate has decreased by $\$ 0.00099$ per kWh. This decrease is due to a decrease in the prior period balance, as well as decreased forecasted costs. Mr. Wells' testimony provides further explanation regarding the costs in the both the forecasted and current period.
Q. Have you provided a reconciliation of costs and revenues in the EDC?
A. Revised Schedule LSM-2, Page 2, provides the reconciliation of EDC costs and revenues for the two prior periods, May 2007 through April 2008 and May 2008 through July 2009, while Page 3 provides the reconciliation for the forecast rate period, August 2009 through July 2010. Interest is computed on average monthly balances using the prime rate, as described in the tariff. These pages reflect actual data for the period May 2007 through April 2009 and estimated data for the remainder of the period. Detail on monthly revenue is shown on Schedule LSM-2, Page 4 and Revised Schedule LSM-2, Page 5.

## VI. TARIFF CHANGES AND BILL IMPACTS

Q. Has UES included tariff changes to reflect the proposed rate changes for effect August 1, 2009?
A. Schedule LSM-3, Page 1 and Revised Schedule LSM-3, Page 2 are redline tariffs of the SCC and EDC, respectively. Please note that these pages are essentially the same as provided in Page 1 of Schedules LSM-1 and 2. Pages 3, 4 and 5 of Revised Schedule LSM-3 are redline tariffs of UES' Summary of Delivery Service Rates and Summary of Low-Income Electric Assistance Program Discounts. The Summary of Delivery Service Rates incorporates the proposed SCC and EDC. Changes to the Summary of Low-Income Electric Assistance Program Discounts were made to calculate the discounts under the proposed rates.
Q. Have you included any bill impacts as a result of proposed rate changes effective August 1, 2009?
A. Yes, rate changes and bill impacts as a result of changes to the SCC, EDC, and the G1 Class DSC have been provided in Revised Schedule LSM-4. Pages 1 through 3 provide a table comparing the existing rates to the proposed rates for all the rate classes. These pages also show the impact on a typical bill for each class in order to identify the effect of each rate component on a typical bill.

Page 4 shows bill impacts to the residential class based on the mean and median use. Page 4 is provided in a format similar to Pages 1 through 3.

Page 5 provides the overall average class bill impact as well as the impact associated with all August 1 changes. As shown, for customers on Default Service, the residential class average bill will decrease about $3.3 \%$. General Service (G2) average bills will also decrease about 3.4\%. Large General Service (G1) average bills will decrease about $5.8 \%$, with $4.2 \%$ of this amount due to changes in the SCC and EDC. Outdoor lighting average bills will decrease about $2.0 \%$.

Pages 6 through 11 of Revised Schedule LSM-4 provide typical bill impacts for all classes for a range of usage levels.

## VII. CONCLUSION

Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
A. Yes, it does.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name and business address.
A. My name is Linda S. McNamara. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West, Hampton, New Hampshire 03842.
Q. For whom do you work and in what capacity?
A. I am a Senior Regulatory Analyst I at Unitil Service Corp. ("USC"), which provides centralized management and administrative services to all Unitil Corporation's affiliates including Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. ("UES").
Q. Please describe your business and educational background.
A. In 1994 I graduated cum laude from the University of New Hampshire with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Mathematics. Since joining USC in June 1994, I have been responsible for the preparation of various regulatory filings, price analysis, and tariff changes.
Q. Have you previously testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ('Commission")?
A. Yes.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
A. The purpose of my testimony is to present and explain the proposed changes to UES' Stranded Cost Charge ("SCC") and External Delivery Charge ("EDC"), effective August 1, 2009.

My testimony will focus on the reconciliation and rate development for the SCC and EDC. Mr. Francis X. Wells is sponsoring testimony which addresses the costs associated with each of these charges.

## III. SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

Q. Please summarize your testimony.
A. My testimony will provide support for the revisions to the SCC and EDC for effect August 1, 2009. I will explain the rate development for these mechanisms, review the actual and estimated data included in each rate, describe the proposed tariff revisions, and provide bill impacts for each class.
Q. Is UES proposing any other rate changes for effect August 1, 2009?
A. Yes. On June 12th, UES submitted to the Commission its G1 class Default Service tariff filing in DE 09-009, with a revision filed on June 18th. In that filing, UES proposed Default Service rates for its G1 class based on the winning bids, and proposed changes to its Default Service tariff for effect August 1, 2009. The Commission approved UES' G1 class Default Service rates on June 19, 2009 in Order No. 24,980. While that proposal is being
eonsidered in a separate proceeding before the Commission, t The approvedproposed rates have been included in the G1 class typical bill comparisons in order to fully reflect all proposed-changes to UES' rates effective August 1, 2009.

## IV. STRANDED COST CHARGE

Q. What is the SCC?
A. The SCC is the mechanism by which UES recovers UPC's stranded costs from retail customers. UPC's stranded costs are billed to UES in the form of Contract Release Payments through the Amended System Agreement.
Q. What is UES' proposed SCC?
A. As shown on Schedule LSM-1, Page 1, UES is proposing a SCC of $\$ 0.00495 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for its Residential, Regular General Service kWh meter, General Service Quick Recovery Water Heating, Space Heating, and Controlled Off Peak Water Heating, and Outdoor Lighting classes, $\$ 0.00167 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and $\$ 0.87 / \mathrm{kW}$ for its Regular General Service G2 class, and $\$ 0.00147 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and $\$ 1.24 / \mathrm{kV}$ a for its Large General Service G1 class. The charges are proposed to become effective August 1, 2009.
Q. How is the SCC calculated?
A. Schedule LSM-1, Page 1 provides the calculation for the SCC for all classes. The rate is calculated in accordance with UES' tariff, Schedule SCC. The class SCC obligations are calculated first based on a uniform per kWh charge, and then applied to each class based on an appropriate rate design. In addition to the energy based SCC, the Regular General Service G2 class and Large General Service G1 class also pay a demand based SCC. In past filings, in order to determine the energy based SCC, the calculated demand revenue was subtracted from the total revenue calculated based on a uniform kWh charge. However, because of the large decrease in the SCC, applying this method resulted in negative energy charges. To avoid negative energy charges, UES used the ratio of demand and energy revenue under current rates to develop the demand and energy components of the SCC for effect August 1, 2009.
Q. How was the uniform per kWh rate for determining class SCC obligations calculated?
A. The uniform SCC is calculated by dividing the prior period (over)/under recovery as of July 31, 2009, plus the forecast of costs for the period August 2009 through July 2010, plus interest for the same period, by calendar month kWh sales for August 2009 through July 2010. This uniform rate is applied equally to all customer classes other than G2 and G1. This calculation is provided on Schedule LSM-1, Page 1.
Q. How does the proposed SCC compare to the rate currently in effect?
A. The uniform rate is decreasing by $\$ 0.00387$ per kWh . The decrease is primarily due to lower forecasted costs, primarily the expiration of Residual Contract Obligations.
Q. Have you provided a reconciliation of costs and revenues in the SCC?
A. Schedule LSM-1, Page 2, provides the reconciliation of costs and revenues for the two prior periods, May 2007 through April 2008 and May 2008 through July $2009^{1}$, while Page 3 provides the reconciliation for the forecast rate period, August 2009 through July 2010. Actual data is provided for May 2007 through April 2009 and estimated data is provided for the remaining months. This schedule summarizes the costs and revenues associated with stranded costs and provides the computation of interest, which is calculated based on average monthly balances using the prime rate, as described in the tariff. As noted, the May 2008 beginning balance also includes the ending balance in the Transition Service ("TS") Charge Balance which was approved in Order No. 24,851 . Details of the TS Charge Balance are provided on Pages 6 through 9 of Schedule LSM-1.
${ }^{1}$ As discussed in more detail by Mr. Wells, changes to the SCC and EDC are now made for effect August 1 of each year, instead of May 1.
Q. Have you provided detail on the monthly revenues shown on Pages 2 and 3 of Schedule LSM-1?
A. Yes, revenue detail is shown on Schedule LSM-1, Page 4 for the period May 2007 through April 2008, May 2008 through July 2009, and August 2009 through July 2010. Actual data is included for May 2007 through April 2009 and the remaining months are forecast.

## V. EXTERNAL DELIVERY CHARGE

Q. What is the EDC?
A. The EDC is the mechanism by which UES recovers the costs it incurs associated with providing transmission services outside UES' system and other costs for energy and transmission related services. For costs incurred after May 1, 2006, the costs included in the EDC exclude Default Service related external administrative charges, which have been moved for collection through the DSC, per the Settlement Agreement in DE 05-064 dated August 11, 2005, and approved by the Commission in Order No. 24,511 on September 9, 2005.

## Q. What is UES' proposed EDC?

A. Revised Schedule LSM-2, Page 1, provides the proposed EDC of $\$ 0.014251591 / \mathrm{kWh}$ applicable to all classes. This charge is proposed to become effective August 1, 2009.
Q. How is the EDC calculated?
A. The EDC is calculated by summing the prior period (over)/under recovery as of July 31, 2009, plus the estimated EDC costs and associated interest for the period August 2009 through July 2010. The total is divided by estimated calendar month kWh sales for the period August 2009 through July 2010.
Q. How does the proposed EDC compare to the rate currently in effect?
A. The rate has deincreased by $\$ 0.000 \underline{99} 67$ per kWh. This deincrease is due to $\underline{a}$ decrease in the prior period balance, as well as deincreased forecasted costs, and is slightly offset due to a decrease in the prior period balance. Mr. Wells' testimony provides further explanation regarding the inereased costs in the both the forecasted and current period.
Q. Have you provided a reconciliation of costs and revenues in the EDC?
A. Revised Schedule LSM-2, Page 2, provides the reconciliation of EDC costs and revenues for the two prior periods, May 2007 through April 2008 and May 2008 through July 2009, while Page 3 provides the reconciliation for the forecast rate period, August 2009 through July 2010. Interest is computed on average monthly balances using the prime rate, as described in the tariff. These pages reflect actual data for the period May 2007 through April 2009
and estimated data for the remainder of the period. Detail on monthly revenue is shown on Schedule LSM-2, Pages 4 and Revised Schedule LSM-2, Page 5.

## VI. TARIFF CHANGES AND BILL IMPACTS

Q. Has UES included tariff changes to reflect the proposed rate changes for effect August 1, 2009?
A. Schedule LSM-3, Page 1 and Revised Schedule LSM-3, Page 2 are redline tariffs of the SCC and EDC, respectively. Please note that these pages are essentially the same as provided in Page 1 of Schedules LSM-1 and 2. Pages 3, 4 and 5 of Revised Schedule LSM-3 are redline tariffs of UES' Summary of Delivery Service Rates and Summary of Low-Income Electric Assistance Program Discounts. The Summary of Delivery Service Rates incorporates the proposed SCC and EDC. Changes to the Summary of Low-Income Electric Assistance Program Discounts were made to calculate the discounts under the proposed rates.
Q. Have you included any bill impacts as a result of proposed rate changes effective August 1, 2009?
A. Yes, rate changes and bill impacts as a result of changes to the SCC , EDC, and the G1 Class DSC have been provided in Revised Schedule LSM-4. Pages 1 through 3 provide a table comparing the existing rates to the proposed rates for all the rate classes. These pages also show the impact on a typical
bill for each class in order to identify the effect of each rate component on a typical bill.

Page 4 shows bill impacts to the residential class based on the mean and median use. Page 4 is provided in a format similar to Pages 1 through 3 .

Page 5 provides the overall average class bill impact as well as the impact associated with all August 1 changesboth filings. As shown, for customers on Default Service, the residential class average bill will decrease about 3.32.2\%. General Service (G2) average bills will also decrease about 3.42.2\%. Large General Service (G1) average bills will decrease about 4.35.8\%, with 4.22.7\% of this amount due to changes in the SCC and EDC. Outdoor lighting average bills will decrease about 2.01.3\%.

Pages 6 through 11 of Revised Schedule LSM-4 provide typical bill impacts for all classes for a range of usage levels.

## VII. CONCLUSION

Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
A. Yes, it does.

## UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS. INC. <br> CALCULATION OF THE EXTERNAL DELIVERY CHARGE <br> Effective August 1, 2009

1. (Over)/under Recovery - Beginning Balance August 1, 2009
\$228,732
Page 3 of 6
2. Estimated Total Costs (August 2009-July 2010)
\$17,249,589
Page 3 of 6
3. Estimated Interest (August 2009 - July 2010)
$(\$ 1,809)$
Page 3 of 6
4. Costs to be Recovered (L. $1+\mathrm{L} .2+\mathrm{L} .3$ )
\$17,476,512
L. $1+$ L. $2+$ L. 3
5. Estimated Calendar Month Deliveries in kWh (August 2009 - July 2010) (1) 1,226,188,039
6. External Delivery Charge (\$/kWh) (L.5/L.6)
$\$ 0.01425$
L. 5 / L. 6
(1) Schedule LSM-2, page 5.

(1) Includes October 2007 Rate Case Surcharge balance of $\$ 210,200.14$, as approved by Secretarial Letter dated October 22, 2007 in DE 05-178.

|  |  | (a) <br> Beginning Balance | (b) Total Costs | (c) Total Revenue | (d) <br> Ending <br> Balance Before Interest $(a+b-c)$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{e}) \\ \text { Average } \\ \text { Monthly } \\ \text { Balance } \\ ((\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{d}) / 2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (f) <br> Interest Rate | (g) <br> Number of Days / Month | (h) <br> Computed Interest | (i) <br> Ending Balance with Interest (d + h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May-08 | Actual | \$1,008,967 | \$1,226,168 | \$1,119,666 | \$1,115,470 | \$1,062,218 | 6.00\% | 31 | \$5,398 | \$1,120,868 |
| Jun-08 | Actual | \$1,120,868 | \$1,492,427 | \$1,231,503 | \$1,381,792 | \$1,251,330 | 6.00\% | 30 | \$6,154 | \$1,387,946 |
| Jul-08 | Actual | \$1,387,946 | \$2,365,323 | \$1,339,858 | \$2,413,411 | \$1,900,679 | 5.00\% | 31 | \$8,049 | \$2,421,460 |
| Aug-08 | Actual | \$2,421,460 | \$1,249,426 | \$1,132,659 | \$2,538,227 | \$2,479,844 | 5.00\% | 31 | \$10,502 | \$2,548,730 |
| Sep-08 | Actual | \$2,548,730 | \$1,212,778 | \$1,666,312 | \$2,095,196 | \$2,321,963 | 5.00\% | 30 | \$9,516 | \$2,104,712 |
| Oct-08 | Actual | \$2,104,712 | \$1,070,745 | \$1,456,697 | \$1,718,760 | \$1,911,736 | 5.00\% | 31 | \$8,096 | \$1,726,856 |
| Nov-08 | Actual | \$1,726,856 | \$1,119,574 | \$1,327,541 | \$1,518,889 | \$1,622,873 | 5.00\% | 30 | \$6,651 | \$1,525,540 |
| Dec-08 | Actual | \$1,525,540 | \$1,250,085 | \$1,461,911 | \$1,313,715 | \$1,419,627 | 5.00\% | 31 | \$6,012 | \$1,319,727 |
| Jan-09 | Actual | \$1,319,727 | \$1,132,554 | \$1,720,153 | \$732,128 | \$1,025,927 | 4.00\% | 31 | \$3,485 | \$735,613 |
| Feb-09 | Actual | \$735,613 | \$1,451,602 | \$1,492,404 | \$694,811 | \$715,212 | 4.00\% | 28 | \$2,195 | \$697,006 |
| Mar-09 | Actual | \$697,006 | \$1,232,080 | \$1,462,995 | \$466,090 | \$581,548 | 4.00\% | 31 | \$1,976 | \$468,066 |
| Apr-09 | Actual | \$468,066 | \$1,116,342 | \$1,315,734 | \$268,674 | \$368,370 | 3.25\% | 30 | \$984 | \$269,658 |
| May-09 | Estimate | \$269,658 | \$1,170,430 | \$1,569,672 | (\$129,584) | \$70,037 | 3.25\% | 31 | \$193 | (\$129,390) |
| Jun-09 | Estimate | (\$129,390) | \$1,715,596 | \$1,553,231 | \$32,975 | $(\$ 48,207)$ | 3.25\% | 30 | (\$129) | \$32,847 |
| Jul-09 | Estimate | \$32,847 | \$1,965,180 | \$1,769,655 | \$228,371 | \$130,609 | 3.25\% | 31 | \$361 | \$228,732 |
| Total May-08 to Jul-09 |  |  | \$20,770,312 | \$21,619,991 |  |  |  |  | \$69,444 |  |

Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.
Reconciliation of External Delivery Costs and Revenues

|  |  | (a) <br> Beginning <br> Balance | (b) Total Costs | (c) Total Revenue | (d) Ending Balance Before Interest ( $a+b-c$ ) | (e) $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Monthly } \\ \text { Balance } \\ ((\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{d}) / 2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (f) <br> Interest <br> Rate | (g) <br> Number of Days/ Month | (h) <br> Computed Interest | (i) <br> Ending Balance with Interest (d + h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug-09 | Estimate | \$228,732 | \$1,579,628 | \$1,608,676 | \$199,684 | \$214,208 | 3.25\% | 31 | \$591 | \$200,275 |
| Sep-09 | Estimate | \$200,275 | \$1,463,000 | \$1,477,750 | \$185,526 | \$192,900 | 3.25\% | 30 | \$515 | \$186,041 |
| Oct-09 | Estimate | \$186,041 | \$1,212,579 | \$1,390,901 | \$7,719 | \$96,880 | 3.25\% | 31 | \$267 | \$7,986 |
| Nov-09 | Estimate | \$7,986 | \$1,321,218 | \$1,285,714 | \$43,491 | \$25,739 | 3.25\% | 30 | \$69 | \$43,559 |
| Dec-09 | Estimate | \$43,559 | \$1,497,608 | \$1,470,771 | \$70,396 | \$56,978 | 3.25\% | 31 | \$157 | \$70,553 |
| Jan-10 | Estimate | \$70,553 | \$1,374,386 | \$1,541,565 | $(\$ 96,626)$ | $(\$ 13,036)$ | 3.25\% | 31 | (\$36) | $(\$ 96,662)$ |
| Feb-10 | Estimate | $(\$ 96,662)$ | \$1,381,451 | \$1,445,623 | (\$160,834) | $(\$ 128,748)$ | 3.25\% | 28 | (\$321) | $(\$ 161,155)$ |
| Mar-10 | Estimate | $(\$ 161,155)$ | \$1,320,323 | \$1,408,114 | $(\$ 248,947)$ | $(\$ 205,051)$ | 3.25\% | 31 | (\$566) | $(\$ 249,513)$ |
| Apr-10 | Estimate | $(\$ 249,513)$ | \$1,235,578 | \$1,223,361 | $(\$ 237,295)$ | $(\$ 243,404)$ | 3.25\% | 30 | (\$650) | $(\$ 237,945)$ |
| May-10 | Estimate | (\$237,945) | \$1,287,597 | \$1,486,083 | $(\$ 436,431)$ | $(\$ 337,188)$ | 3.25\% | 31 | (\$931) | $(\$ 437,362)$ |
| Jun-10 | Estimate | (\$437,362) | \$1,783,935 | \$1,466,194 | (\$119,620) | $(\$ 278,491)$ | 3.25\% | 30 | (\$744) | (\$120,364) |
| Jul-10 | Estimate | (\$120,364) | \$1,792,286 | \$1,668,428 | \$3,494 | $(\$ 58,435)$ | 3.25\% | 31 | (\$161) | \$3,332 |
| Total Aug-09 to Jul-10 |  |  | \$17,249,589 | \$17,473,180 |  |  |  |  | $(\$ 1,809)$ |  |

Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.
External Delivery Revenues

Revised Schedule LSM-2
Page 5 of 6

|  |  | (a) Total Billed kWh | (b) Unbilled Factor | (c) <br> Unbilled kWh $\left(a^{*} b\right)$ | (d) <br> Proposed EDC | (e) <br> Unbilled EDC <br> Revenue (c * d) | (f) <br> Reversal of prior month unbilled | (g) <br> Total Billed EDC Revenue | (h) <br> Total Revenue $(e+f+g)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug-09 | Estimate | 119,906,019 | 50.51\% | 60,569,050 | \$0.01425 | \$863,109 | $(\$ 1,030,004)$ | \$1,775,570 | \$1,608,676 |
| Sep-09 | Estimate | 105,726,218 | 55.37\% | 58,544,592 | \$0.01425 | \$834,260 | $(\$ 863,109)$ | \$1,506,599 | \$1,477,750 |
| Oct-09 | Estimate | 96,725,954 | 61.44\% | 59,425,725 | \$0.01425 | \$846,817 | $(\$ 834,260)$ | \$1,378,345 | \$1,390,901 |
| Nov-09 | Estimate | 96,202,130 | 55.56\% | 53,449,116 | \$0.01425 | \$761,650 | $(\$ 846,817)$ | \$1,370,880 | \$1,285,714 |
| Dec-09 | Estimate | 101,506,380 | 54.34\% | 55,154,736 | \$0.01425 | \$785,955 | $(\$ 761,650)$ | \$1,446,466 | \$1,470,771 |
| Jan-10 | Estimate | 109,613,886 | 49.01\% | 53,720,836 | \$0.01425 | \$765,522 | $(\$ 785,955)$ | \$1,561,998 | \$1,541,565 |
| Feb-10 | Estimate | 104,202,329 | 48.91\% | 50,965,735 | \$0.01425 | \$726,262 | $(\$ 765,522)$ | \$1,484,883 | \$1,445,623 |
| Mar-10 | Estimate | 97,176,622 | 54.13\% | 52,604,146 | \$0.01425 | \$749,609 | $(\$ 726,262)$ | \$1,384,767 | \$1,408,114 |
| Apr-10 | Estimate | 94,312,175 | 46.80\% | 44,141,867 | \$0.01425 | \$629,022 | $(\$ 749,609)$ | \$1,343,948 | \$1,223,361 |
| May-10 | Estimate | 93,181,118 | 59.29\% | 55,247,251 | \$0.01425 | \$787,273 | $(\$ 629,022)$ | \$1,327,831 | \$1,486,083 |
| Jun-10 | Estimate | 96,325,794 | 64.17\% | 61,812,274 | \$0.01425 | \$880,825 | (\$787,273) | \$1,372,643 | \$1,466,194 |
| Jul-10 | Estimate | 110,711,166 | 61.59\% | 68,183,798 | \$0.01425 | \$971,619 | $(\$ 880,825)$ | \$1,577,634 | \$1,668,428 |
| Tota | 9 to Jul-10 | 1,225,589,792 |  | 673,819,124 |  | \$9,601,923 | $(\$ 9,660,307)$ | \$17,531,564 | \$17,473,180 |

NHPUC No. 3 - Electricity Delivery Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.
(R)

## CALCULATION OF THE EXTERNAL DELIVERY CHARGE



[^0]
## SUMMARY OF DELIVERY SERVICE RATES (Includes Electricity Consumption Tax)

The effective rates listed below include an Electricity Consumption Tax, assessed in accordance with NH Statute RSA Chapter 83-E
This tax, collected on behalf of the State of NH by each electric utility, is based on kWh consumed.
Each bill rendered for electric delivery service shall be calculated through the application of the effective rates as listed below

(1) Includes low-income portion of $\$ 0.00150$ per kWh and energy efficiency portion of $\$ 0.00180$ per kWr

> * Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,742 in Case No. DE 05-178, dated April 13, 2007 ** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,851 in Case No. DE 08 040, dated April 23, 2008 *** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,889 in Case No. DE 08 092, dated August 29, 2008 **** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,903 in Case No. DE 08-097, dated September 30, 2008

NHPUC No. 3 - Electricity Delivery
Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.

## SUMMARY OF DELIVERY SERVICE RATES (Includes Electricity Consumption Tax) (continued)


(1) Includes low-income portion of $\$ 0.00150$ per kWh and energy efficiency portion of $\$ 0.00180$ per kWh .

> * Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,742 in Case No. DE 05-178, dated April 13, 2007
> *** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,851 in Case No. DE 08-040, dated April 23, 2008
> *** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,889 in Case No.DE 08-092, datedugust 29, 2008
> **** Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,903 in Case No. DE 08-097, dated September 30, 2008

## SUMMARY OF LOW-INCOME <br> ELECTRIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DISCOUNTS

## Low-Income Electric Assistance Program (LI-EAP) Discounts for Eligible Customers

(R)

(1) Total utility charges from Page 4 (excluding the Electricity Consumption Tax) plus Non-G1 class Fixed Default Service Rate multiplied by the appropriate discount.

Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. Typical Bill Impacts by Rate Component

Residential Rate D 500 kWh Bill

| Rate Components | 5/1/2009 | 8/1/2009 |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current Rate | As Revised | Difference | Current Bill* | As Revised Bill* | Difference | Difference <br> to Total Bill |
| Customer Charge | \$8.40 | \$8.40 | \$0.00 | \$8.40 | \$8.40 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
|  | \$/kWh | \$/kWh |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Charge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First 250 kWh | \$0.01810 | \$0.01810 | \$0.00000 | \$4.53 | \$4.53 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Excess 250 kWh | \$0.02310 | \$0.02310 | \$0.00000 | \$5.78 | \$5.78 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| External Delivery Charge | \$0.01524 | \$0.01425 | (\$0.00099) | \$7.62 | \$7.13 | (\$0.50) | -0.7\% |
| Stranded Cost Charge | \$0.00882 | \$0.00495 | (\$0.00387) | \$4.41 | \$2.48 | (\$1.94) | -2.6\% |
| System Benefits Charge | \$0.00330 | \$0.00330 | \$0.00000 | \$1.65 | \$1.65 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Default Service Charge | \$0.08618 | \$0.08618 | \$0.00000 | \$43.09 | \$43.09 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| First 250 kWh | \$0.13164 | \$0.12678 | (\$0.00486) |  |  |  |  |
| Excess 250 kWh | \$0.13664 | \$0.13178 | (\$0.00486) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Bill |  |  |  | \$75.47 | \$73.04 | (\$2.43) | -3.2\% |

* Impacts do not include the Electricity Consumption Tax.

Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.
Typical Bill Impacts by Rate Component

| Rate Components | 5/1/2009 <br> Current Rate | 8/1/2009 |  |  |  | Difference | \% <br> Difference to Total Bill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | As Revised | Difference | Current Bill* | As Revised Bill* |  |  |
| Customer Charge | \$3.75 | \$3.75 | \$0.00 | \$3.75 | \$3.75 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
|  | \$/kWh | \$/kWh |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Charge | \$0.02088 | \$0.02088 | \$0.00000 | \$41.76 | \$41.76 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| External Delivery Charge | \$0.01524 | \$0.01425 | (\$0.00099) | \$30.48 | \$28.50 | (\$1.98) | -0.7\% |
| Stranded Cost Charge | \$0.00882 | \$0.00495 | (\$0.00387) | \$17.64 | \$9.90 | (\$7.74) | -2.8\% |
| System Benefits Charge | \$0.00330 | \$0.00330 | \$0.00000 | \$6.60 | \$6.60 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Default Service Charge | \$0.08618 | \$0.08618 | \$0.00000 | \$172.36 | \$172.36 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total | \$0.13442 | \$0.12956 | (\$0.00486) | \$268.84 | \$259.12 | (\$9.72) | -3.6\% |
| Total Bill |  |  |  | \$272.59 | \$262.87 | (\$9.72) | -3.6\% |


| Rate Components | Regular General G2 kWh Meter 125 kWh Typical Bill |  |  |  | As Revised Bill* | Difference | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5/1/2009 <br> Current Rate | $8 / 1 / 2009$ <br> As Revised | Difference | Current Bill* |  |  |  |
| Customer Charge | \$8.40 | \$8.40 | \$0.00 | \$8.40 | \$8.40 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
|  | \$/kWh | \$/kWh |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Charge | \$0.02975 | \$0.02975 | \$0.00000 | \$3.72 | \$3.72 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| External Delivery Charge | \$0.01524 | \$0.01425 | (\$0.00099) | \$1.91 | \$1.78 | (\$0.12) | -0.5\% |
| Stranded Cost Charge | \$0.00882 | \$0.00495 | (\$0.00387) | \$1.10 | \$0.62 | (\$0.48) | -1.8\% |
| System Benefits Charge | \$0.00330 | \$0.00330 | \$0.00000 | \$0.41 | \$0.41 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Default Service Charge | \$0.08618 | \$0.08618 | \$0.00000 | \$10.77 | \$10.77 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Total | \$0.14329 | \$0.13843 | (\$0.00486) | \$17.91 | \$17.30 | (\$0.61) | -2.3\% |
| Total Bill |  |  |  | \$26.31 | \$25.70 | (\$0.61) | -2.3\% |

* Impacts do not include the Electricity Consumption Tax.

Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.
Typical Bill Impacts by Rate Component


| Rate Components | Large General - G1 $550 \mathrm{kVa}, 200,000 \mathrm{kWh}$ Typical Bill |  |  |  | As Revised Bill* | Difference | \% Difference to Total Bill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5/1/2009 <br> Current Rate | $8 / 1 / 2009$ <br> As Revised | Difference | Current Bill* |  |  |  |
| Customer Charge | \$108.86 | \$108.86 | \$0.00 | \$108.86 | \$108.86 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
|  | All kVa | All kVa |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Charge | \$5.69 | \$5.69 | \$0.00 | \$3,129.50 | \$3,129.50 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Stranded Cost Charge | \$2.25 | \$1.24 | (\$1.01) | \$1,237.50 | \$682.00 | (\$555.50) | -2.4\% |
| Total | \$7.94 | \$6.93 | (\$1.01) | \$4,367.00 | \$3,811.50 | (\$555.50) | -2.4\% |
|  | \$/kWh | \$/kWh |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Charge | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00000 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| External Delivery Charge | \$0.01524 | \$0.01425 | (\$0.00099) | \$3,048.00 | \$2,850.00 | (\$198.00) | -0.8\% |
| Stranded Cost Charge | \$0.00267 | \$0.00147 | (\$0.00120) | \$534.00 | \$294.00 | (\$240.00) | -1.0\% |
| System Benefits Charge | \$0.00330 | \$0.00330 | \$0.00000 | \$660.00 | \$660.00 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Default Service Charge | \$0.07394 | \$0.07205 | (\$0.00189) | \$14,788.00 | \$14,410.00 | (\$378.00) | -1.6\% |
| Total | \$0.09515 | \$0.09107 | (\$0.00408) | \$19,030.00 | \$18,214.00 | (\$816.00) | -3.5\% |
| Total Bill |  |  |  | \$23,505.86 | \$22,134.36 | (\$1,371.50) | -5.8\% |

* Impacts do not include the Electricity Consumption Tax. For the G1 Class, the proposed bill includes Default Service rates approved in DE 09-009. Default Service Charges shown are based on the average of the DSC for each 3-month period


## Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.

Typical Bill Impacts for Residential Rate Class based on Mean and Median Usage
Residential Rate D 662 kWh Bill - Mean Use*


Residential Rate D 553 kWh Bill - Median Use*

| Rate Components | 5/1/2009 | 8/1/2009 |  | $\frac{\text { Current }}{\underline{\text { Bill }{ }^{* *}}}$ | As Revised Bill** | Difference | $\%$ <br> Difference <br> to Total Bill |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | As Revised | Difference |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Charge | \$8.40 | \$8.40 | \$0.00 | \$8.40 | \$8.40 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
|  | \$/kWh | \$/kWh |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Charge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First 250 kWh | \$0.01810 | \$0.01810 | \$0.00000 | \$4.53 | \$4.53 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Excess 250 kWh | \$0.02310 | \$0.02310 | \$0.00000 | \$7.00 | \$7.00 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| External Delivery Charge | \$0.01524 | \$0.01425 | (\$0.00099) | \$8.43 | \$7.88 | (\$0.55) | -0.7\% |
| Stranded Cost Charge | \$0.00882 | \$0.00495 | (\$0.00387) | \$4.88 | \$2.74 | (\$2.14) | -2.6\% |
| System Benefits Charge | \$0.00330 | \$0.00330 | \$0.00000 | \$1.82 | \$1.82 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| Default Service Charge | \$0.08618 | \$0.08618 | \$0.00000 | \$47.66 | \$47.66 | \$0.00 | 0.0\% |
| First 250 kWh | \$0.13164 | \$0.12678 | (\$0.00486) |  |  |  |  |
| Excess 250 kWh | \$0.13664 | \$0.13178 | (\$0.00486) |  |  |  |  |
| Total Bill |  |  |  | \$82.71 | \$80.02 | (\$2.69) | -3.2\% |

* Based on billing period March 2008 through February 2009.
${ }^{* *}$ Impacts do not include the Electricity Consumption Tax.

Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.
Average Class Bill Impacts
Due to Proposed Rate Changes Effective August 1, 2009

| (A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (K) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Annual | Annual | Proposed | Proposed | Proposed | Estimated Annual | Estimated Annual | Proposed | \% Change | \% Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { (M) } \\ \text { \% Change } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Number of | kWh | kW / kVA | DSC | SCC | EDC | Revenue \$ Under | Revenue \$ Under | Net Change | DSC | Net SCC \& | Net |
| Class of Service | Customers | Sales | Sales | Change \$ | Change \$ | Change \$ | Present Rates | Proposed Rates | Revenue \$ | Revenue | EDC Revenue | Revenue |
| Residential | 738,543 | 486,378,217 | n/a | \$0 | (\$1,882,284) | (\$481,514) | \$71,817,944 | \$69,454,146 | (\$2,363,798) | 0.0\% | (3.3\%) | (3.3\%) |
| General Service | 125,046 | 354,008,286 | 1,298,686 | \$0 | (\$1,370,012) | (\$350,468) | \$50,804,944 | \$49,084,464 | (\$1,720,480) | 0.0\% | (3.4\%) | (3.4\%) |
| Large General Service | 1,822 | 364,679,187 | 996,528 | $(\$ 689,244)$ | (\$1,411,308) | (\$361,032) | \$42,640,864 | \$40,179,279 | (\$2,461,585) | (1.6\%) | (4.2\%) | (5.8\%) |
| Outdoor Lighting | 117,884 | 9,206,974 | n/a | \$0 | (\$35,631) | (\$9,115) | \$2,282,156 | \$2,237,410 | (\$44,746) | 0.0\% | (2.0\%) | (2.0\%) |
| Total | 983,295 | 1,214,272,664 |  | (\$689,244) | (\$4,699,235) | $(\$ 1,202,130)$ | \$167,545,908 | \$160,955,299 | (\$6,590,609) | (0.4\%) | (3.5\%) | (3.9\%) |

(B), (C), (D) Test year billing determinants in DE 05-178
(E), (F), (G) Difference in proposed rate and current rate, times the billing determinants shown in Column (C). The DSC for the G1 class used in this analysis are based on the average of the DSC for each 3-month period, comparing the recently approved Aug-Oct 2009 average rate to the current May-Jul 2009 average rate.
(H) Based on current rates times billing determinants shown in Columns (B), (C) and (D).
(I) Sum of Columns (E) through (H)
(J) Column (I) minus Column (H)
(K) Column (E) divided by Column (H)
(L) Column (F) + Column (G) divided by Column (H)
(M) Column (J) divided by Column (H)



| Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. <br> Typical Bill Impacts - May 1, 2009 versus August 1, 2009 Impacts do NOT include the Electricity Consumption Tax Impact on G2 kWh Meter Rate Customers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Total Bill | Total Bill |  | \% |
| Monthly | Using Rates | Using Rates | Total | Total |
| kWh | 5/1/2009 | 8/1/2009 | Difference | Difference |
| 15 | \$10.55 | \$10.48 | (\$0.07) | (0.7\%) |
| 75 | \$19.15 | \$18.78 | (\$0.36) | (1.9\%) |
| 150 | \$29.89 | \$29.16 | (\$0.73) | (2.4\%) |
| 250 | \$44.22 | \$43.01 | (\$1.22) | (2.7\%) |
| 350 | \$58.55 | \$56.85 | (\$1.70) | (2.9\%) |
| 450 | \$72.88 | \$70.69 | (\$2.19) | (3.0\%) |
| 550 | \$87.21 | \$84.54 | (\$2.67) | (3.1\%) |
| 650 | \$101.54 | \$98.38 | (\$3.16) | (3.1\%) |
| 750 | \$115.87 | \$112.22 | (\$3.65) | (3.1\%) |
| 900 | \$137.36 | \$132.99 | (\$4.37) | (3.2\%) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Rates - Effective May 1, 2009 | Rates - Proposed August 1, 2009 | Difference |
| kWh Meter Customer Charge |  | \$8.40 | \$8.40 | \$0.00 |
| Distribution Charge |  | All kWh | All kWh | All kWh |
|  |  | \$0.02975 | \$0.02975 | \$0.00000 |
| External Delivery Charge |  | \$0.01524 | \$0.01425 | (\$0.00099) |
| Stranded Cost Charge |  | \$0.00882 | \$0.00495 | (\$0.00387) |
| System Benefits Charge |  | \$0.00330 | \$0.00330 | \$0.00000 |
| Default Service Charge |  | \$0.08618 | \$0.08618 | \$0.00000 |
| TOTAL |  | \$0.14329 | \$0.13843 | (\$0.00486) |





| Table 2. Comparison of EDC Cost Estimates Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line No. | Line Item Description | Prior Estimate <br> Feb 2008 Apr 2009 | Current Estimate <br> May 2009 - <br> July 2010 | Change in Estimates |
| 1. | Third Party Transmission Providers (NU Network Integration Transmission Service) | \$2,876,923 | \$665,030 | $(\$ 2,211,893)$ |
| 2. | Regional Transmission and Operating Entities | \$12,760,629 | \$17,537,706 | \$4,777,077 |
| 3. | Third Party Transmission Providers (NU Wholesale Distribution) | \$3,841,187 | \$3,679,544 | $(\$ 161,643)$ |
| 4. | Transmission-based Assessments and Fees | \$8,389 | \$2,000 | $(\$ 6,389)$ |
| 5. | Load Estimation and Reporting System Costs | \$156,000 | \$157,500 | \$1,500 |
| 6. | Data and Information Services | \$18,750 | \$18,750 | \$0 |
| 7. | Legal Charges | \$90,000 | \$74,500 | $(\$ 15,500)$ |
| 8. | Consulting Outside Service Charges | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 9. | Administrative Service Charges | (\$22,075) | $(\$ 34,235)$ | $(\$ 12,160)$ |
| 10. | Total External Delivery Costs | \$19,729,803 | \$22,100,796 | \$2,370,993 |

Q. Please explain the major increase in projected EDC costs.
A. I estimate Total External Delivery Costs for May 2009 through July 2010 to be $\$ 2.4$ million higher than I estimated for February 2008 though April 2009. The cause of this increase is a $\$ 4.8$ million increase in Regional Transmission and Operating Entities costs. The increase in Regional Transmission costs is partially offset by decreases in estimated costs of NU Network Service and DDS, totally approximately $\$ 2.4$ million.

This increase in Regional Transmission costs represents a $37 \%$ increase in Regional Transmission costs over the prior period estimate, due to an increa se in the Regional Network Service rate effective June 1, 2009. The increase in the Regional Network Service rate continues a trend of increasing transmission rates due to major investment in transmission infrastructure in New England. This investment has been required for transmission system reliability purposes. Increased transmission investment has the effect of increasing the amount UES pays for both NU Network Service and Regional Transmission.

The decrease of $\$ 2.2$ million in estimated NU Network Service costs is due to a decrease in the annual true-up costs included in the prior estimate and a decrease in NU's estimate of its revenue requirement effective June 1, 2009. The NU Network Service true-up for 2007 was in excess of $\$ 1$ million. The NU Network Service true-up for 2008 is expected to decrease to approximately $\$ 239,650$. In discussion with NU regarding the decrease in NU's estimate of its revenue requirement, I have learned that NU expects higher revenue credits from the ISO
through the Regional Network Service rate due to the true-up of NU's estimated 2008 revenue requirement to its actual 2008 revenue requirement.

The decrease of $\$ 161,643$ for DDS costs is due to a decrease in the minimum billing determinant due to a decrease in the annual peak system loads.
Q. What legal costs does UES expect to incur under the EDC?
A. I estimate that UES will incur $\$ 74,500$ in EDC legal costs for the period beginning May 2009 through July 2010. This amount includes UES' estimates for monitoring FERC issuances and rulemakings, updates to Schedule 21-UES of the ISO Tariff required in order to provide for the interconnection of a new generator in UES' service territory, compliance with FERC' s electronic tariff requirements and the cost of this proceeding. EDC legal costs estimate excludes any charges directly related to the design and implementation of Default Service supply. Any legal costs associated with procurement of Default Service are recovered through the Default Service Charge, in accordance with the settlement agreement approved in DE 05-064.
Q. Please provide the detail behind the estimate for the Administrative Service Charge.
A. Details regarding the ASC are provided in Schedule FXW-3 on lines 10 through 18. The ASC includes any costs incurred by UPC, relative to UPC' sobligations under the Amended Unitil System Agreement, which are not otherwise assigned

4 Q. How do estimated EDC Costs beginning May 2009 through July 2010 compare to
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Q. Please explain the major increase in projected EDC costs.
A. I estimate Total External Delivery Costs for May 2009 through July 2010 to be $\$ 2.4$ million higher than I estimated for February 2008 though April 2009. The cause of this increase is a $\$ 4.8$ million increase in Regional Transmission and Operating Entities costs. The increase in Regional Transmission costs is partially offset by decreases in estimated costs of NU Network Service and DDS, totally approximately $\$ 2.4$ million.

This increase in Regional Transmission costs represents a $37 \%$ increase in Regional Transmission costs over the prior period estimate, due to an increase in the Regional Network Service rate effective June 1, 2009. The increase in the Regional Network Service rate continues a trend of increasing transmission rates due to major investment in transmission infrastructure in New England. This investment has been required for transmission system reliability purposes. Increased transmission investment has the effect of increasing the amount UES pays for both NU Network Service and Regional Transmission.

The decrease of \$2.2 million in estimated NU Network Service costs is due to a decrease in the annual true-up costs included in the prior estimate and a decrease in NU's estimate of its revenue requirement effective June 1, 2009. The NU

Network Service true-up for 2007 was in excess of $\$ 1$ million. The NU Network Service true-up for 2008 is expected to decrease to approximately $\$ 239,650$. In discussion with NU regarding the decrease in NU's estimate of its revenue requirement, I have learned that NU expects higher revenue credits from the ISO
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Deleted: This decrease in annual trueup costs is partially offset by a projected increase in ongoing NU Network Service costs. At the time of this initial filing, the NU Network Service rates for effect beginning June 1, 2009 have not yet been provided to UES. When this data becomes available, I will revise the EDC cost budget and UES revise its rate calculations, if appropriate.
through the Regional Network Service rate due to the true-up of NU' s estimated 2008 revenue requirement to its actual 2008 revenue requirement.

The decrease of $\$ 161,643$ for DDS costs is due to a decrease in the minimum billing determinant due to a decrease in the annual peak system loads.
Q. What legal costs does UES expect to incur under the EDC?
A. I estimate that UES will incur $\$ 74,500$ in EDC legal costs for the period beginning May 2009 through July 2010. This amount includes UES’ estimates for monitoring FERC issuances and rulemakings, updates to Schedule 21-UES of the ISO Tariff required in order to provide for the interconnection of a new generator in UES' service territory, compliance with FERC' s electronic tariff requirements and the cost of this proceeding. EDC legal costs estimate excludes any charges directly related to the design and implementation of Default Service supply. Any legal costs associated with procurement of Default Service are recovered through the Default Service Charge, in accordance with the settlement agreement approved in DE 05-064.
Q. Please provide the detail behind the estimate for the Administrative Service Charge.
A. Details regarding the ASC are provided in Schedule FXW-3 on lines 10 through 18. The ASC includes any costs incurred by UPC, relative to UPC' sobligations under the Amended Unitil System Agreement, which are not otherwise assigned
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(1) Breakdown of costs included in the Administrative Service Charge are presented in Schedule FXW-3.
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(1) Breakdown of costs included in the Administrative Service Charge are presented in Schedule FXW-3.


[^0]:    Authorized by NHPUC Order No. 24,889 in Case No. DE 08 092, dated August 29, 2008

